

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/814,371	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCCREATH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Susan Hanley	<b>Art Unit</b> 1651	

**All Participants:**

(1) Susan Hanley.

(2) Daniel Monaco.

**Status of Application: allowance**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Monaco agreed that the specification would be amended by an examiner's amendment to add the generic terminology for SEPHAROSE, at each instance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)